

#### available at www.sciencedirect.com







# Past chlamydial infection is not associated with primary fallopian tube carcinoma

A. Riska<sup>a,\*</sup>, P. Finne<sup>b,c</sup>, H. Alfthan<sup>b</sup>, T. Anttila<sup>d</sup>, J. Jalkanen<sup>a</sup>, T. Sorvari<sup>a</sup>, U.H. Stenman<sup>b</sup>, J. Paavonen<sup>a</sup>, A. Leminen<sup>a</sup>

#### ARTICLE INFO

# Article history: Received 24 November 2005 Accepted 29 November 2005 Available online 12 June 2006

#### Keywords:

Primary fallopian tube carcinoma Chlamydia trachomatis Chlamydia pneumoniae

#### ABSTRACT

We conducted a retrospective seroepidemiological study to evaluate the relationship between past chlamydial infection and primary fallopian tube carcinoma (PFTC). Post-operative serum samples were drawn from 79 consecutive patients treated for PFTC in 1985–2000. For each case two controls were selected. Serum samples were analysed for IgG antibodies to different C. trachomatis serotype pools and to C. pneumoniae. Seropositivity in general or serum antibody levels to different C. trachomatis serovars or C. pneumoniae did not differ between PFTC patients and controls. The lack of association between anti-chlamydial antibodies and PFTC suggests that past chlamydial infection does not play a role in the etiopathogenesis of PFTC. However, because chlamydial infection is common at young age and PFTC develops decades later, we cannot definitively exclude the possibility that C.trachomatis contributes to the development of PFTC.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Primary fallopian tube carcinoma (PFTC) is a rare but aggressive disease, comprising 0.1–1.1% of female genital malignancies. The age-adjusted annual incidence in Finland has increased from 1.2 per 1,000,000 women in 1953 to 5.4 per 1,000,000 women in 1997. The incidence has increased especially among women in higher social classes and among those living in urban areas. The aetiological factors are largely unknown, but may be similar to those of ovarian cancer.

Chlamydia trachomatis infection is the most common sexually transmitted bacterial infection (STI),<sup>3</sup> causing salpingitis and pelvic inflammatory disease (PID).<sup>4,5</sup> Chlamydiae are common intracellular bacteria and can cause chronic or persistent infections.<sup>6</sup> Chronic infections may predispose to

malignant growth. <sup>7</sup> C. trachomatis has already been linked to cervical carcinoma. <sup>8,9</sup> History of PID has been linked to ovarian carcinoma. <sup>10–12</sup> Thus, an association between C. trachomatis and PFTC is biologically plausible. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the role of C. trachomatis in PFTC.

## 2. Patients and methods

The study population consisted of 79 consecutive patients treated for PFTC at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynae-cology, University Hospital, Helsinki, between 1985 and 2000. Data were collected by systematic chart review. The patients had not received chemotherapy prior to surgery. Staging was performed according to the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) criteria. Pelvic and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Helsinki University Hospital, Haartmaninkatu 2, 00290, Finland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Department of Clinical Chemistry, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>School of Public Health, University of Tampere, Finland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>National Public Health Institute, Oulu, Finland

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Tel.: +358 504271218; fax: +358 9 471 73640. E-mail address: annika.riska@hus.fi (A. Riska). 0959-8049/\$ - see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2005.11.041

para-aortic lymphadenectomy was performed whenever possible. Stage, grade, histological findings, tumour size, and size of the residual tumour were recorded. Serum samples were drawn at a median of 36 d (interquartile range 28-55 d) after primary surgery. For each case, two controls were selected randomly from women matched for age at serum sampling (±5 years), and who had no cancer diagnosis. For the five youngest patients the age criterion had to be somewhat relaxed due to lack of suitable controls. Because two blood samples were drawn from the same control subject by mistake, two cases had only one control. Thus, the total number of controls was 156. Ninety-one serum samples were from control patients from the Helsinki City Maternity Hospital, operated for a benign gynaecological disease. Sixty-one control archival serum samples were from patients coming to an examination for a benign colon disease (30 controls) or were female employees at Helsinki University Hospital (35 controls). The time periods were on average 4 years apart. Collection of control serum samples was performed with the approval of the Ethics Committee of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Helsinki University Hospital. All samples were stored at -25 °C and analysed simultaneously.

The mean ages of the patients and controls were 61.2 years (range 40.0–82.8 years) and 61.5 years (range 24.5–87.0 years),

Table 1 – Clinical characteristics of 79 patients with primary fallopian tube carcinoma (PFTC)

Stage       1       11       (14)         II       12       (15)         III       40       (51)         IV       15       (19)         No data       1       (1)         Grade       (1)       7       (9)         1       7       (9)       (25)         3       45       (57)         No data       7       (9)         Histological type       Serous       57       (72)         Anaplastic       13       (16)         Carcinosarcoma       5       (7)         Endometrioid       1       (1)         Clear cell       1       (1)         Unknown       2       (3)         Tumour size (cm)       2       (3)         <2       7       (9)         2-5       14       (18)         5-10       19       (24)         >10       36       (45)         No data       3       (4)         Residual tumour size (cm)       None       28       (35)         <0.5       2       (3)         0.5-1.0       1       (1)         1-2 <t< th=""><th>Parameter</th><th>n</th><th>(%)</th></t<>	Parameter	n	(%)
II       12       (15)         III       40       (51)         IV       15       (19)         No data       1       (1)         Grade         1       7       (9)         2       20       (25)         3       45       (57)         No data       7       (9)         Histological type       Serous       57       (72)         Anaplastic       13       (16)         Carcinosarcoma       5       (7)         Endometrioid       1       (1)         Clear cell       1       (1)         Unknown       2       (3)         Tumour size (cm)       2       (3)         <2	Stage		
III       40       (51)         IV       15       (19)         No data       1       (1)         Grade       (1)       7       (9)         1       7       (9)         2       20       (25)         3       45       (57)         No data       7       (9)         Histological type       Serous       57       (72)         Anaplastic       13       (16)         Carcinosarcoma       5       (7)         Endometrioid       1       (1)         Clear cell       1       (1)         Unknown       2       (3)         Tumour size (cm)       2       (3)         <2	I	11	(14)
IV       15       (19)         No data       1       (1)         Grade       1       7       (9)         1       7       (9)         2       20       (25)         3       45       (57)         No data       7       (9)         Histological type       Serous       57       (72)         Anaplastic       13       (16)         Carcinosarcoma       5       (7)         Endometrioid       1       (1)         Clear cell       1       (1)         Unknown       2       (3)         Tumour size (cm)       2       (3)         V2       7       (9)         2-5       14       (18)         5-10       19       (24)         >10       36       (45)         No data       3       (4)         Residual tumour size (cm)       None       28       (35)         <0.5	II	12	(15)
No data       1       (1)         Grade       1       7       (9)         1       7       (9)         2       20       (25)         3       45       (57)         No data       7       (9)         Histological type       Serous       57       (72)         Anaplastic       13       (16)         Carcinosarcoma       5       (7)         Endometrioid       1       (1)         Clear cell       1       (1)         Unknown       2       (3)         Tumour size (cm)       2       (3)         V2       7       (9)         2-5       14       (18)         5-10       19       (24)         >10       36       (45)         No data       3       (4)         Residual tumour size (cm)       None       28       (35)         <0.5	III		
Grade  1	= :		, ,
1       7       (9)         2       20       (25)         3       45       (57)         No data       7       (9)         Histological type         Serous       57       (72)         Anaplastic       13       (16)         Carcinosarcoma       5       (7)         Endometrioid       1       (1)         Clear cell       1       (1)         Unknown       2       (3)         Tumour size (cm)       2       (3)         2-5       14       (18)         5-10       19       (24)         >10       36       (45)         No data       3       (4)         Residual tumour size (cm)       0.5       2       (3)         None       28       (35)         <0.5	No data	1	(1)
2       20       (25)         3       45       (57)         No data       7       (9)         Histological type       57       (72)         Serous       57       (72)         Anaplastic       13       (16)         Carcinosarcoma       5       (7)         Endometrioid       1       (1)         Clear cell       1       (1)         Unknown       2       (3)         Tumour size (cm)       2       (3)         <2	Grade		
3       45       (57)         No data       7       (9)         Histological type       57       (72)         Serous       57       (72)         Anaplastic       13       (16)         Carcinosarcoma       5       (7)         Endometrioid       1       (1)         Clear cell       1       (1)         Unknown       2       (3)         Tumour size (cm)       2       (3)         -2-5       14       (18)         5-10       19       (24)         >10       36       (45)         No data       3       (4)         Residual tumour size (cm)       0.5       2       (3)         None       28       (35)         <0.5	1	7	(9)
No data 7 (9)  Histological type  Serous 57 (72)  Anaplastic 13 (16)  Carcinosarcoma 5 (7)  Endometrioid 1 (1)  Clear cell 1 1 (1)  Unknown 2 (3)  Tumour size (cm)  <2 7 (9)  2-5 14 (18)  5-10 19 (24)  >10 36 (45)  No data 3 (4)  Residual tumour size (cm)  None 28 (35)  <0.5 2 (3)  0.5-1.0 1 (1)  1-2 4 (5)  >2 30 (38)  Peritoneal carcinosis 10 (13)	2	20	(25)
Histological type Serous 57 (72) Anaplastic 13 (16) Carcinosarcoma 5 (7) Endometrioid 1 (1) Clear cell 1 1 (1) Unknown 2 (3)  Tumour size (cm) <2 7 (9) 2-5 14 (18) 5-10 19 (24) >10 36 (45) No data 3 (4)  Residual tumour size (cm) None 28 (35) <0.5 2 (3) 0.5-1.0 1 (1) 1-2 4 (5) >2 30 (38) Peritoneal carcinosis 10	3	45	(57)
Serous       57       (72)         Anaplastic       13       (16)         Carcinosarcoma       5       (7)         Endometrioid       1       (1)         Clear cell       1       (1)         Unknown       2       (3)         Tumour size (cm)         <2	No data	7	(9)
Serous       57       (72)         Anaplastic       13       (16)         Carcinosarcoma       5       (7)         Endometrioid       1       (1)         Clear cell       1       (1)         Unknown       2       (3)         Tumour size (cm)         <2	Histological type		
Anaplastic 13 (16) Carcinosarcoma 5 (7) Endometrioid 1 (1) Clear cell 1 (1) Unknown 2 (3)  Tumour size (cm) <2 7 (9) 2-5 14 (18) 5-10 19 (24) >10 36 (45) No data 3 (4)  Residual tumour size (cm) None 28 (35) <0.5 2 (3) 0.5-1.0 1 (1) 1-2 4 (5) >2 30 (38) Peritoneal carcinosis 10 (13)		57	(72)
Carcinosarcoma       5       (7)         Endometrioid       1       (1)         Clear cell       1       (1)         Unknown       2       (3)         Tumour size (cm)         <2	Anaplastic	13	, ,
Clear cell       1       (1)         Unknown       2       (3)         Tumour size (cm)       -2       (9)         2-5       14       (18)         5-10       19       (24)         >10       36       (45)         No data       3       (4)         Residual tumour size (cm)       None       28       (35)         <0.5		5	
Unknown 2 (3)  Tumour size (cm)  -2 7 (9)  2-5 14 (18)  5-10 19 (24)  >10 36 (45)  No data 3 (4)  Residual tumour size (cm)  None 28 (35)  -0.5 2 (3)  0.5-1.0 1 (1)  1-2 4 (5)  >2 30 (38)  Peritoneal carcinosis 10 (13)	Endometrioid	1	(1)
Tumour size (cm)  <2	Clear cell	1	(1)
<2	Unknown	2	(3)
<2	Tumour size (cm)		
2–5 14 (18) 5–10 19 (24) >10 36 (45) No data 3 (4)  Residual tumour size (cm) None 28 (35) <0.5 2 (3) 0.5–1.0 1 (1) 1–2 4 (5) >2 30 (38) Peritoneal carcinosis 10 (13)	· ·	7	(9)
5-10     19     (24)       >10     36     (45)       No data     3     (4)       Residual tumour size (cm)     28     (35)       None     28     (35)       <0.5	2–5	14	, ,
No data 3 (4)  Residual tumour size (cm)  None 28 (35) <0.5 2 (3) 0.5–1.0 1 (1) 1–2 4 (5) >2 30 (38)  Peritoneal carcinosis 10 (13)	5–10	19	
Residual tumour size (cm)  None 28 (35) <0.5 2 (3) 0.5–1.0 1 (1) 1–2 4 (5) >2 30 (38)  Peritoneal carcinosis 10 (13)	>10	36	(45)
None     28     (35)       <0.5	No data	3	(4)
None       28       (35)         <0.5	Residual tumour size (cm)		
<0.5	` '	28	(35)
0.5–1.0       1       (1)         1–2       4       (5)         >2       30       (38)         Peritoneal carcinosis       10       (13)			
1-2 4 (5) >2 30 (38) Peritoneal carcinosis 10 (13)	0.5–1.0	1	
>2 30 (38) Peritoneal carcinosis 10 (13)	1–2	4	
	>2	30	
		10	(13)
No data 4 (5)	No data	4	(5)

respectively. Clinical and histopathological characteristics are shown in Table 1.

## 2.1. Chlamydia serology

Chlamydia trachomatis- and C. pneumoniae-specific IgG antibodies were assayed by a micro-immunofluorescence method (MIF)<sup>13</sup> using pooled serovars (GFK, CJHI, BED) of C. trachomatis (Washington Research Foundation, Seattle, WA, United States of America (USA)) and serovar Kajaani 6 for C. pneumoniae as control antigens, and fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated anti-human IgG (Kallestad, Chaska, MO, USA) as conjugate. The serum samples were analysed at two-fold dilutions for C. trachomatis and at four-fold dilutions for C. pneumoniae. Titres of  $\geqslant$  16 were considered positive for C. pneumoniae.

## 2.2. Statistical analysis

A statistical power of 80% was planned to detect a hypothesised difference at a two-tailed significance of P < 0.05. The power calculations were based on a hypothesised odds ratio (OR) of  $2.8^8$  and a prevalence of C. trachomatis antibodies of 14% in the general population. Thus, 135 controls would be needed for the cases. Univariate and multivariate ORs with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and two-sided P-values were estimated by conditional logistic regression. Statistical calculations were performed using Stata 8.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, Tex) and SPSS 12.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, III) software.

## 3. Results

Seropositivity to the different *C. trachomatis* serovars and to *C. pneumoniae* in PFTC patients varied from 13.9% to 21.5%. In control subjects seropositivity varied from 10.3% to 21.8% (Table 2). The overall prevalence of *C. trachomatis* IgG antibodies to one or more serotype pools in PFTC patients and controls was 20% and 16%, respectively (P = 0.42). Serum IgG antibodies to the different *C. trachomatis* serovars or to *C. pneumoniae* were not associated with PFTC (Table 3). The presence of serum IgG antibodies to more than one serotype pool did not increase the risk of PFTC (Table 4). The study population was divided into age quintiles to study the effect of age on seropositivity, but again there was no correlation. However, patients with elevated *C. trachomatis* IgG titres to serotypes BED were younger than control subjects, 39 years versus 56 years (P = 0.038). When comparing PFTC patients and con-

Table 2 – Seropositivity to different chlamydia trachomatis serovars and to chlamydia pneumoniae in PFTC (N = 79) and control patients (N = 156)

	Cases, n (%)	Controls, n (%)	
C. trachomatis			
CJHI	13 (16)	17 (11)	p = 0.2
GFK	13 (16)	23 (15)	p = 0.7
BED	11 (14)	16 (10)	p = 0.4
C. pneumoniae	17 (21)	34 (22)	<i>p</i> = 0.9

Table 3 – Odds ratios calculated by conditional logistic regression analysis of PFTC associated with different chlamydia trachomatis serovars and with chlamydia pneumoniae

	Odds ratio 95% C	
Chlamydia trachomatis		
CHIJ	1.6	0.7-3.5
BED	1.4	0.6-3.2
GFK	1.1	0.5-2.4
Chlamydia pneumoniae	1.0	0.5–1.9
a CI = Confidence interval.		

trols, a trend towards elevated C. trachomatis IgG antibody titres to one or more serotype pools was seen among younger PFTC patients, whereas such trend was not seen among young controls, but this did not reach statistical significance (P = 0.115). Stage, histology or grade showed no association with seropositivity to C. trachomatis or C. pneumoniae and PFTC.

#### 4. Discussion

This study is the first of an association between exposure to C. trachomatis and subsequent PFTC. The potential role of specific infectious agents as risk factors of PFTC is unknown. There have been some case reports of tuberculous salpingitis being a possible promoter of PFTC. 16 No evidence was found of the role of past history of chlamydial infection as a risk factor of PFTC. Thus, the results of this study were somewhat disappointing and unexpected. C. trachomatis is an intracellular bacterium and a major cause of salpingitis and PID. PID may lead to pyosalpinx, sactosalpinx, fallopian tube adhesions and obstruction, leading to ectopic pregnancy or tubal factor infertility. 17,18 One of the mechanisms by which chlamydia may be involved in carcinogenesis is through chronic persistent inflammation. Inflammation involves rapid cell division, DNA repair, oxidative stress, and high tissue concentrations of cytokines and prostaglandins, all of which can play a role in carcinogenesis. 19 Another important factor in carcinogenesis may be the anti-apoptotic effect of chlamydia.<sup>20</sup> Koskela and colleagues<sup>8</sup> have published sero-epidemiological evidence that infection with C. trachomatis confers an increased risk of subsequent development of invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix. The presence of serum IgG antibodies to serotype G increased the risk 6.6-fold. In addition, antibodies to more than one serotype tended to further increase the risk.9 A number of investigators have found an elevated risk of ovarian carcinoma associated with a past history of PID. 10,11,19 Risch and Howe found an increased risk of ovarian carcinoma among women who had prior PID (OR 1.5). 11 The relationship between PID and ovarian carcinoma was strongest in women who had had PID at an early age, and in nulliparous or infertile women. The risk of ovarian cancer increased with the number of PID episodes. In contrast, Parazzini and colleagues found no link between ovarian carcinoma and history of PID. 21 However, cancer incidence correlations suggest common aetiological factors for cervical and ovarian cancer.<sup>22</sup> Furthermore, tubal ligation and hysterectomy protect against ovarian cancer, supporting the theory of ascending aetiological factors.<sup>23</sup> In the present study the risk of PFTC was not increased among those with chlamydial antibodies. This is difficult to explain. If there is an inflammatory agent ascending from the cervix to the ovaries, one would expect to see an association with fallopian tube carcinoma.

Data on the natural history and kinetics of C. trachomatis antibodies are limited. Puolakkainen and colleagues showed that C. trachomatis IgG antibodies measured by means of an indirect immunofluorescence technique persisted at stable levels in 43% of the women involved for up to 6 years; 43% of the women showed a decrease in IgG titres, and 13% showed an increase.<sup>24</sup> Chlamydial infections are rare among older women. In the present study, the mean age of the patients was 61.2 years. Chlamydial IgG antibodies were measured by MIF, which is considered the gold standard.<sup>22</sup> It is not known whether the negative results in the present study can be explained by decreasing serum chlamydial antibody titres. There are no studies on the possible protective effect of tubal ligation or hysterectomy on PFTC. If tubal ligation does not protect women from PFTC, this would support the negative results of the present study.

In conclusion, the presence of serum anti-chlamydial anti-bodies was not associated with PFTC. However, because chlamydial infection is common in young women and PFTC develops several decades later, one cannot exclude the possibility that it contributes to the development of PFTC.

#### Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

Table 4 – Risk by number of positive C. trachomatis serotype pools in PFTC patients and controls, univariate analysis						
Percent positive						
Number of serotype pools	Cases n (%)	Controls n (%)	Odds ratio	95%CI	p-value	
0	63 (80)	131 (84)	1.0			
1	3 (4)	5 (3)	1.2	0.3-5.4	0.7	
2	5 (6)	9 (6)	1.1	0.4-3.6	0.8	
3	8 (10)	11 (7)	1.5	0.6–3.9	0.4	
Total	79 (100)	156 (100)				

## Acknowledgement

This study was supported by grants from Orion Research Foundation and Helsinki University Hospital, Finland.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Nordin AJ. Primary carcinoma of fallopian tube: a 30-year literature review. Obstet Gynecol Surv 1994;49:349–61.
- Riska A, Leminen A, Pukkala E. Sociodemographic determinants of incidence of primary fallopian tube carcinoma. Int J Cancer 2003;104:643–5.
- 3. Wilson JS, Honey E, Templeton A, et alfor the EU Biome Concerted Action Group. A systematic review of the prevalence of Chlamydia trachomatis among European women. Hum Reprod Update 2002;8:385–94.
- Paavonen J, Molander P. Pelvic inflammatory disease. In: Shaw A et al., editors. Gynecology. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2003. p. 891–900.
- Paavonen J, Eggert-Kruse W. Chlamydia trachomatis: impact on human reproduction. Hum Reprod Update 1999;5:433–47.
- Hogan RJ, Mathews SA, Mukhopadhyay S, Summersgrill JT, Timms P. Chlamydial persistence: beyond the biphasic paradigm. Infect Immun 2004;72:1843–55.
- Rosin MP, Anwar WA, Ward JA. Inflammation, chromosomal instability and cancer: the schistosomiasis model. Cancer Res 1994;54(suppl):1929–33.
- Koskela P, Anttila T, Bjorge T, et al. Chlamydia trachomatis infection as a risk factor for invasive cervical cancer. Int J Cancer 2000;85:35–9.
- 9. Anttila T, Saikku P, Koskela P, et al. Serotypes of Chlamydia trachomatis and risk for cervical squamous cell carcinoma. *JAMA* 2001;285:47–51.
- Shu WO, Brinton LA, Gao YT, Yuan JM. Population-based case-control-study of ovarian cancer in Shanghai. Cancer Res 1989;49:3670–4.

- Risch HA, Howe GR. Pelvic inflammatory disease and the risk of epithelial ovarian cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1995;4:447–51.
- 12. Paavonen J. Chlamydia and cancer. Gynecol Forum 1998;3:17–20.
- 13. Wang S-P, Grayston JT. Immunologic relationship between genital TRIC, lymphogranuloma venerum, and related organisms in a new microtiter indirect-immunofluorescent test. Am J Ophthalmol 1970;70:367–74.
- Anttila T, Lehtinen T, Leinonen M, et al. Serological evidence of an association between chlamydial infections and malignant lymphomas. Br J Haematol 1998;103:150–6.
- 15. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S. Applied logistic regression. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 2000.
- Gungor T, Keskin HL, Zergeroglu S, et al. Tuberculous salpingitis in two of five primary fallopian tube carcinomas. J Obstet Gynaecol 2003;23:193–5.
- 17. Paavonen J. Pelvic inflammatory disease. From diagnosis to prevention. *Dermatol Clin* 1998;**16**:747–56.
- Kinnunen A, Surcel H-M, Halttunen M, et al. Chlamydia trachomatis heat shock protein-60 induced interferongamma and interleukin-10 production in infertile women. Clin Exp Imm 2003;131:299–303.
- Ness R, Grisso J, Cottreau C, et al. Factors related to inflammation of the ovarian epithelium and risk of ovarian cancer. Epidemiology 2000;11:111–7.
- Fan T, Lu H, Hu H, et al. Inhibition of apoptosis in Chlamydiainfected cells: blockade of mitochondrial cytochrome c release and caspase activation. J Exp Med 1998;187(4):487–96.
- Parazzini F, LaVecchia C, Negri E, Moroni S, dal Pino D, Fedele L. Pelvic inflammatory disease and risk of ovarian cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1996;5:667–9.
- 22. Bosch FX, Cardis E. Cancer incidence correlations: genital, urinary and some tobacco-related cancers. *Int J Cancer* 1990;46:178–84.
- Hankinson SE, Hunter DJ, Colditz GA, et al. Tubal ligation, hysterectomy, and risk of ovarian cancer. JAMA 1993;270:2813–8.
- Puolakkainen M, Vesterinen E, Purola E, Saikku P, Paavonen J. Persistence of chlamydial antibodies after pelvic inflammatory disease. J Clin Microbiol 1986;23:924–8.